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#### Abstract

Several planets recently discovered have orbits that are in the opposite direction to the way the star spins on its axis. If the star is spinning clockwise the planet is orbiting anti-clockwise. This contradicts the modern nebula hypothesis and presents strong evidence for creationism.


Seven planets found so far orbiting backwards are: WASP 2b, WASP 5b, WASP 8b, WASP 15b, WASP 17b, WASP 33b, HAT-P-7b.

The Planets Physical Details

| Planets | Planets Mass | Planets Mass | Planets Radius | Planets Radius |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Name | Jupiter $=1$ | Kilograms | Jupiter $=1$ | Metres |
| WASP 2b | 0.914 | $1.73532 \mathrm{E}+27$ | 1.17 | $79,856,564$ |
| WASP 5b | 1.637 | $3.10801 \mathrm{E}+27$ | 1.171 | $83,717,132$ |
| WASP 8b | 2.23 | $4.23388 \mathrm{E}+27$ | 1.17 | $83,645,640$ |
| WASP 15b | 0.542 | $1.02904 \mathrm{E}+27$ | 1.428 | $102,090,576$ |
| WASP 17b | 0.49 | $9.30314 \mathrm{E}+26$ | 1.74 | $124,396,080$ |
| WASP 33b | 1.11 | $2.10745 \mathrm{E}+27$ | 1.56 | $111,527,520$ |
| HAT-P-7b | 1.776 | $3.37191 \mathrm{E}+27$ | 1.363 | $97,443,596$ |

[Table 1]
The Parent Stars Physical Details

| Stars | Stars Mass | Stars Mass | Stars Radius | Stars Radius |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Name | Sun =1 | Kilograms | Sun =1 | Metres |
| WASP 2 | 0.86 | $1.71054 \mathrm{E}+30$ | 0.81 | $562,950,000$ |
| WASP 5 | 1.03 | $2.04867 \mathrm{E}+30$ | 1.04 | $722,800,000$ |
| WASP 8 | 1 | $1.989 \mathrm{E}+30$ | 0.96 | $667,200,000$ |
| WASP 15 | 1.22 | $2.42658 \mathrm{E}+30$ | 1.53 | $1,063,350,000$ |
| WASP 17 | 1.24 | $2.46636 \mathrm{E}+30$ | 1.66 | $1,153,700,000$ |
| WASP 33 | 1.5 | $2.9835 \mathrm{E}+30$ | 1.44 | $1,000,800,000$ |
| HAT-P-7 | 1.47 | $2.92383 \mathrm{E}+30$ | 1.84 | $1,278,800,000$ |

[Table 2]
Planets Orbital Properties

| Planets | Orbital Radius | Orbital Radius | Circumference | Orbital Period | Orbital Velocity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Name | AU | Metres | Metres | Seconds | Metres/Second |
| WASP 2b | 0.03138 | $4,694,448,000$ | $29,507,958,857$ | 185,952 | 158,686 |
| WASP 5b | 0.02729 | $4,082,584,000$ | $25,661,956,571$ | 140,696 | 182,393 |
| WASP 8b | 0.0793 | $11,863,280,000$ | $74,569,188,571$ | 705,024 | 105,768 |
| WASP 15b | 0.0499 | $7,465,040,000$ | $46,923,108,571$ | 324,178 | 144,745 |
| WASP 17b | 0.051 | $7,629,600,000$ | $47,957,485,714$ | 322,742 | 148,594 |
| WASP 33b | 0.02555 | $3,822,280,000$ | $24,025,760,000$ | 105,397 | 227,956 |
| HAT-P-7b | 0.0377 | $5,639,920,000$ | $35,450,925,714$ | 190,489 | 186,105 |

[Table 3]
Evolutionists claim that theses planets originally had forward orbits with a much greater radius that what they have now. What are the ratios between the current orbital values and the initial orbital values with an original orbital radius of 1,000 million kilometres? Table 4 shows the answer.

Current Versus Initial Orbital Properties

| Planets | Final Orbital | Initial Orbital | Centripetal Force | Kinetic Energy |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Name | Velocity $[\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}]$ | Velocity $[\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}]$ | Ratio | Ratio |
| WASP 2b | 128,693 | 10,679 | $1,235,505,661$ | $\mathbf{6 , 5 8 9 , 9 8 1}$ |
| WASP 5b | 139,739 | 11,687 | $1,755,836,810$ | $\mathbf{8 , 5 7 7 , 5 6 7}$ |
| WASP 8b | 96,574 | 11,520 | $39,616,390$ | 499,353 |
| WASP 15b | 118,503 | 12,705 | $181,085,122$ | $\mathbf{1 , 5 6 1 , 0 3 2}$ |
| WASP 17b | 116,446 | 12,807 | $159,645,788$ | $\mathbf{1 , 4 2 0 , 2 6 6}$ |
| WASP 33b | 155,640 | 14,091 | $1,694,023,644$ | $\mathbf{8 , 3 5 0 , 0 7 5}$ |
| HAT-P-7b | 186,105 | 13,953 | $1,694,023,644$ | $\mathbf{8 , 3 5 0 , 0 7 5}$ |

[Table 4]
Astronomy Details, Internet URL

| Systems Name | Stars Details | Planets Details |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WASP 2 | http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/WASP-2 | http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/WASP-2b |
| WASP 5 | http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/WASP-5 | http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/WASP-5b |
| WASP 8 | http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/WASP-8 | http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/WASP-8b |
| WASP 15 | http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/WASP-15 | http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/WASP-15b |
| WASP 17 | http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/WASP-17 | http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/WASP-17b |
| WASP 33 | http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/WASP-33 | http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/WASP-33b |
| HAT-P-7 | http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/HAT-P-7 | http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/HAT-P-7b |

[Table 5]
What is the terminal velocity of a free fall from the original hypothetical orbital radius to the current one? Terminal velocity formula:

$$
\mathrm{v}=\sqrt{\frac{2 G M}{Y}+\frac{2 G M}{X}}
$$

[1]
$\mathrm{G}=$ Gravitational constant $=6.673 \times 10-11$
$\mathrm{M}=$ Mass of the primary, kilograms
$\mathrm{X}=$ Starting orbital radius, metres.
$\mathrm{Y}=$ Final orbital radius, metres
$\mathrm{v}=$ Final velocity, meters per seconds
A major problem is that even if the free fall velocity were converted to orbital velocity it would not be the right speed.
$\mathrm{Y}=\frac{2 G M}{\mathrm{v}^{2}+(2 G M \div X)}$
[2]

Below is the planet's actual orbital velocity and the only orbital radius that orbital speed can work on. With free fall the falling planet would reach that speed at twice the actual orbital radius.

Retrograde Orbits Challenge Evolution

| Planets | Orbital Velocity | Orbital Radius | Twice Radius |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Name | Meters/Second | Meters | Meters |
| WASP 2b | 158,686 | $4,694,448,000$ | $8,984,370,081$ |
| WASP 5b | 182,393 | $4,082,584,000$ | $\mathbf{8 , 1 5 1 , 7 7 1 , 8 6 1}$ |
| WASP 8b | 105,768 | $11,863,280,000$ | $\mathbf{2 3 , 1 7 8 , 8 7 5 , 4 6 9}$ |
| WASP 15b | 144,745 | $7,465,040,000$ | $15,222,179,948$ |
| WASP 17b | 148,594 | $7,629,600,000$ | $14,688,538,177$ |
| WASP 33b | 227,956 | $\mathbf{3 , 8 2 2 , 2 8 0 , 0 0 0}$ | $7,604,312,843$ |
| HAT-P-7b | 186,105 | $5,639,920,000$ | $11,140,932,832$ |

Evolutionist astronomers suppose that these planets originally orbited much further from the parent star. They fell out of orbit towards the star. This free fall direction must have suddenly been changed with a right angle turn to an orbital direction. Let us assume the original orbital radius was 1,000 million kilometres.

| Planets | Terminal Velocity | Turning Force | Turning Force | Turning Time |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Name | Metres/Second | Newtons Per Second | Total Newtons | Seconds |
| WASP 2b | 220,000 | $8.39895 \mathrm{E}+28$ | $2.99962 \mathrm{E}+32$ | 3,571 |
| WASP 5b | 258,257 | $2.07294 \mathrm{E}+29$ | $6.30666 \mathrm{E}+32$ | 3,042 |
| WASP 8b | 148,685 | $9.35994 \mathrm{E}+28$ | $4.94618 \mathrm{E}+32$ | 5,284 |
| WASP 15b | 207,500 | $4.43066 \mathrm{E}+28$ | $1.6777 \mathrm{E}+32$ | 3,787 |
| WASP 17b | 206,908 | $3.98276 \mathrm{E}+28$ | $1.51242 \mathrm{E}+32$ | 3,797 |
| WASP 33b | 322,138 | $2.18696 \mathrm{E}+29$ | $5.33413 \mathrm{E}+32$ | 2,439 |
| HAT-P-7b | 262,289 | $2.31972 \mathrm{E}+29$ | $6.94897 \mathrm{E}+32$ | 2,996 |

[Table 6]
If we assume that the planet does a turn with a million kilometres radius, Table 6 shows us how much centripetal force is needed per second and total time to achieve a right angle turn.

Total force needed to do a right angle turn with a million kilometre turning radius is thus:
$F=\frac{m v^{2}}{\mathrm{R}} \times \frac{\pi R}{2 v}$
[3]
$\mathrm{m}=$ planets mass, kilograms
$\mathrm{R}=$ turning radius, metres
$\mathrm{v}=$ planets velocity, metres/second
F= force, Newtons
Planet two mass
M = Second planets mass, kilograms,
$\mathrm{m}=$ Known planets mass, kilograms
$\mathrm{r}=$ Turning radius, metres
F = Centripetal Force, Newtons
$G=$ Gravitational Constant, $=6.673 \times 10^{-11}$
$M=\frac{F r^{2}}{G m}$

## [4]

| Planets | Second Planets Mass | Turning Power Needed | Solar Power Needed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Name | Kilograms | Joules | Sun $=100 \%$ |
| WASP 2b | $7.25 \mathrm{E}+29$ | $1.47821 \mathrm{E}+26$ | $38.44 \%$ |
| WASP 5b | $1 \mathrm{E}+30$ | $4.28281 \mathrm{E}+26$ | $111.36 \%$ |
| WASP 8b | $3.31 \mathrm{E}+29$ | $1.11335 \mathrm{E}+26$ | $28.95 \%$ |
| WASP 15b | $6.45 \mathrm{E}+29$ | $7.3549 \mathrm{E}+25$ | $19.12 \%$ |
| WASP 17b | $6.42 \mathrm{E}+29$ | $6.59252 \mathrm{E}+25$ | $17.14 \%$ |
| WASP 33b | $1.56 \mathrm{E}+30$ | $5.63603 \mathrm{E}+26$ | $146.54 \%$ |
| HAT-P-7b | $1.03 \mathrm{E}+30$ | $4.8675 \mathrm{E}+26$ | $126.56 \%$ |

[Table 7]
Centripetal Force Of An Orbiting Sphere
$F=\frac{M v^{2}}{r}$

## [5]

F = Force, Newtons
M = Mass of orbiting sphere, kilograms
$\mathrm{v}=$ Orbital velocity, metres/second
$r=$ Metres between the centre of both objects

The gravitational force of the Planet Two must equal or be greater that the centripetal force to accomplish the turn in the required distance.

Kinetic energy of an orbiting sphere
$E=\frac{1}{2} m v^{2}$
[6]
E = Joules
$\mathrm{m}=$ mass in kilograms
$\mathrm{v}=$ velocity in metres per second

## Amount Of Explosives Needed

How much power is needed to cause the free falling planet to do a right hand turn with a turning radius of $1,000,000$ kilometres? What is the amount of TNT needed. The density of TNT $=1,654$ kilograms per cubic metre. The energy of one metric tonne $=4,184,000,000$ Joules. The radius of a hypothetical TNT explosive sphere needed to change the known planets orbital vector is given below:

Power needed per second for the turn to happen
$P=T \times 2 \pi \times \frac{1}{t}$
[7]
P = Power, Joules
T = Torque, Centripetal force, Newtons
$\mathrm{t}=$ Turning time, seconds
$M=\frac{P}{4,600,000}$
[8]
M = Mass of TNT needed, kilograms

If the volume is known the radius can be calculated:
$R=\sqrt[3]{\frac{V}{4 \pi \div 3}}$

## [9]

Where $\mathrm{V}=$ volume and $\mathrm{R}=$ radius.
The volume of the TNT planet is thus:
$V=\frac{M}{p}$
[10]
$\mathrm{v}=$ TNT Sphere's volume, cubic metres
$\mathrm{M}=$ Objects current mass, kilograms
$\mathrm{p}=$ TNT density, 1,654 kilograms per cubic metre.
The radius of the original sphere needed is thus:
$r=\sqrt[3]{\frac{M \div p}{4 \pi \div 3}}$
[11]

| Kilograms Of Explosives Needed |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Planets | Kilograms | Kilograms |
| Name | TNT Needed | Uranium |
| WASP 2b | $1.60676 \mathrm{E}+19$ | $43,055,976$ |
| WASP 5b | $4.65523 \mathrm{E}+19$ | $124,745,399$ |
| WASP 8b | $1.21016 \mathrm{E}+19$ | $32,428,424$ |
| WASP 15b | $7.99445 \mathrm{E}+18$ | $21,422,610$ |
| WASP 17b | $7.16578 \mathrm{E}+18$ | $19,202,034$ |
| WASP 33b | $6.12612 \mathrm{E}+19$ | $164,160,617$ |
| HAT-P-7b | $5.29076 \mathrm{E}+19$ | $141,775,775$ |
| [Table 8] |  |  |

If we are using Uranium as a nuclear explosive we divide the power needed by the atomic power of one kilo or litre converted into pure energy. The Velocity of light is $299,792,458$ metres per second. The Density of Uranium is 19.1 kilograms per litre. One litre of Uranium $=1.72 \times 10^{18}$ Watts of energy. One kilogram equals 9 x $10^{16}$ Watts of energy.
$M=\frac{P}{1.72 \times 10^{18}}$
[12]
$E=m c^{2}$
[13]

Power needed per second for the turn to happen
$P=T \times 2 \pi \times \frac{1}{t}$

## [14]

Turning time in seconds:
$t=\frac{\pi R}{2 v}$
[15]

## Reverse Acceleration

Because the planet is falling to fast when it reaches its current orbital radius, you would need another planet to slow down the falling planets speed to orbital speed. The amount of kinetic energy that must be eliminated to have a stable orbit is determined by the formula below:

$$
E=\left(\frac{1}{2} m V^{2}\right)-\left(\frac{1}{2} m v^{2}\right)
$$

[16]
E = Energy, Joules.
$\mathrm{m}=$ mass, kilograms
V= Free fall velocity, metres/second.
$\mathrm{v}=$ Orbital velocity, metres/second.
The orbit can only be stable if the orbital time in seconds [T] fulfils the formula below.

## Orbital Time

## T=Seconds

$$
\mathrm{G}=6.673 \times 10^{-11}
$$

M=Star's mass, kilograms
m= Planet's mass, kilograms
$\mathrm{R}=$ Orbital radius, metres

$$
T=\sqrt{\frac{4 \pi^{2} R^{3}}{G(M+m)}}
$$

[17]
$V=\sqrt{\frac{4 \pi^{2} R^{3}}{G(M+m)}} \div 2 \pi R$

## [18]

In table 9 we can see how much extra kinetic energy must be eliminated to put the planet in a stable orbit. Some of them would require the same amount of energy to be removed as the Sun's energy output in almost 5,000 years.

| Planets | Energy Difference | Solar Years |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Name | Joules | Energy Output |
| WASP 2b | $2.01 \mathrm{E}+37$ | 1,660 |
| WASP 5b | $5.19 \mathrm{E}+37$ | 4,280 |
| WASP 8b | $2.31 \mathrm{E}+37$ | 1,905 |
| WASP 15b | $1.14 \mathrm{E}+37$ | 937 |
| WASP 17b | $9.64 \mathrm{E}+36$ | 795 |
| WASP 33b | $5.46 \mathrm{E}+37$ | 4,498 |
| HAT-P-7b | $5.76 \mathrm{E}+37$ | 4,745 |

[Table 9]

The specific heat of Hydrogen is 14.3 Joules/Gram/Degree Kelvin.
$\mathrm{P}=$ Pressure in Pascals, Kilograms/Square metre.
$\mathrm{V}=$ Volume, cubic metres.
$\mathrm{N}=$ Number of Moles, $6.022 \times 10^{23}$. One Mole per 2.01588 grams of Hydrogen molecules.
$\mathrm{R}=8.314472$.
$\mathrm{T}=$ Temperature, degrees Kelvin

$$
p V=n R T
$$

[19]
$p=\frac{n R T}{V}$
[20]
$V=\frac{n R T}{p}$
[21]
$T=\frac{E}{M \div C}$
[22]
The temperature increase [T, Kelvin] is the energy given off [E, Joules] divided by the mass [grams] divided by the specific heat of the Hydrogen molecule [14.3 Joules/Gram/Degree Kelvin]. This increase is defined in formula 21.

Hydrostatic Equilibrium And G Force Values

| Planets | Density | Gas Pressure | Surface Gravity | Pressure/Density |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Name | Kilos/Cubic Metre | Pascals | Newtons | Newtons |
| WASP 2b | 813.18 | 14,766 | 18.16 | 18.16 |
| WASP 5b | 1264.08 | 37,407 | 29.59 | 29.59 |
| WASP 8b | 1726.42 | 69,714 | 40.38 | 40.38 |
| WASP 15b | 230.79 | 1,521 | 6.59 | 6.59 |
| WASP 17b | 115.33 | 463 | 4.01 | 4.01 |
| WASP 33b | 362.53 | 4,099 | 11.31 | 11.31 |
| HAT-P-7b | 869.67 | 20,608 | 23.7 | 23.7 |

P = Gas pressure, Pascals.
$\mathrm{G}=$ Gravity constant, $6.673 \times 10^{-11}$
$\mathrm{M}=$ Planets mass, kilograms.
$P=$ Density, kilograms per cubic metre.
$P=\frac{G M p}{R^{2}}$
[23]
Outward force $=$ Gas pressure divided by density.
$F=\frac{G M}{R^{2}}$
$\mathrm{F}=$ surface gravity
[24]
Hydrostatic Equilibrium Forces In Newtons

| Planets | Gravity | Gas Pressure | Difference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Name | Down Force | Up Force | Ratio |
| WASP 2b | 18.16 | $1,660,514,636,195$ | $91,445,691,963$ |
| WASP 5b | 29.59 | $2,390,766,098,114$ | $80,790,861,646$ |
| WASP 8b | 40.38 | $780,980,281,558$ | $19,340,469,656$ |
| WASP 15b | 6.59 | $1,580,855,543,278$ | $239,944,120,831$ |
| WASP 17b | 4.01 | $1,482,598,600,210$ | $369,561,136,730$ |
| WASP 33b | 11.31 | $3,705,219,407,458$ | $327,717,486,873$ |
| HAT-P-7b | 23.7 | $2,442,935,846,527$ | $103,091,193,632$ |

The Tolman-Oppenheimer-Volkoff Equation
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Tolman-Oppenheimer-Volkoff equation

$$
\frac{d P(r)}{d r}=-\left[\frac{G}{r^{2}}\right] \times\left[p(r)+\frac{P(r)}{c^{2}}\right] \times\left[M(r)+4 \pi r^{3} \frac{P(r)}{c^{2}}\right] \times\left[1-\frac{2 G M(r)}{r c^{2}}\right]^{-1}
$$

c = Speed of light, 299,792,458
[25]
$V=\sqrt{\frac{3 R T}{m}}$
$V=$ Molecules velocity, metres/second
R = Gas constant
T = Temperature, Kelvin
$m=$ molar weight, grams
[26]

Molecules/planets escape velocity, metres/second
$V=\sqrt{\frac{2 G M}{r}}$
[27]

| Planets | Final Temp | Molecule Speed | Escape Velocity | Velocity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Name | Kelvin | Metres/Second | Metres/Second | Ratios |
| WASP 2b | 402,600 | 70,580 | 53,853 | 1.31 |
| WASP 5b | 579,649 | 84,689 | 70,390 | 1.2 |
| WASP 8b | 189,353 | 48,404 | 82,191 | 0.59 |
| WASP 15b | 383,289 | 68,867 | 36,677 | 1.88 |
| WASP 17b | 359,459 | 66,691 | 31,593 | 2.11 |
| WASP 33b | 898,338 | 105,430 | 50,218 | 2.1 |
| HAT-P-7b | 592,304 | 85,609 | 67,957 | 1.26 |

[Table 12]

The gas molecules speed would exceed the escape velocity of the planet's gravity and explode the planet.

The formula below gives the time for a decaying spiral free fall. How long would it take for the planets to fall from their current orbit to the stars surface if the orbit were gradually reversed? Table 13 gives the answer. If you started slowing down the orbital velocity of the planet it would free fall into the star.
$T_{\text {ff }}=\frac{\pi}{2} \times \frac{R^{1.5}}{\sqrt{2 \times G(M+m)}}$

| Planets | Free Fall Time | Free Fall Time |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Name | Seconds | Hours |
| WASP 2b | 27,605 | 7.67 |
| WASP 5b | 18,494 | 5.14 |
| WASP 8b | 114,140 | 31.71 |
| WASP 15b | 44,717 | 12.42 |
| WASP 17b | 45,129 | 12.54 |
| WASP 33b | 11,798 | 3.28 |
| HAT-P-7b | 22,898 | 6.36 |

[Table 13]

## Conclusion

The current evolutionary theories on the origin of Solar Systems cannot explain these retrograde orbits. You need a second star/mega planet almost as big as the Sun to turn the free falling planet at right angles to an orbital trajectory. No such object exists. The Bible creation account in the book of Genesis offers the best explanation.

